## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,657	09/19/2003	Richard S. Duncan	D0932-00389	8995
8933 7590 01/23/2007 DUANE MORRIS, LLP IP DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
			CANFIELD, ROBERT	
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196		· ·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
<del> </del>		<u> </u>		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE ·	
3 MONTHS		01/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

_ •						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/666,657	DUNCAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert J. Canfield	3635				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 No.	ovember 2006	·				
	·					
<i>'</i>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
•	·	3.5.2.6.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,10-21 and 23-26</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,13-21 and 23-26</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6 and 7</u> is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8 and 10-12</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
المراكع حالما المراكع المراكع على المراكع الم						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The call of accident to objected to by the Ex	annion recently and analysed Childe	7.00.017.01.10111.1.1.0.1.02.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	·				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list (	or the certified copies not receive	u.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/10/06.</li> <li>Other:</li></ul>						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/10/06</u> . 6)						

Application/Control Number: 10/666,657

Art Unit: 3635

1. This Office action is in response to the amendment filed 11/10/06. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-21 and 23-26 are pending. Claims 4, 9 and 22 have been canceled.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5, 13-21, and 23-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over USP 5,600,928 to Hess et al.

Hess provides an elongated polystyrene foam attic vent comprised of channels 24 and 27 separated by a centrally located longitudinal rib 46 having undulating alternating surfaces 56 and 32. The bottom wall portions having transverse support portions 48, which help form, a raised baffled surface. A flange 12, 20, 30 is integral with each longitudinal side portion.

Hess is silent as to the installed convective airflow reading but as it provides all of the claimed structure it is believed to perform as claimed.

Alternatively it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one having ordinary skill in the art to have dimensioned the vent of Hess so as to achieve an optimized airflow reading.

Note claim 13 fails to specify that the first and second bottom wall portions are of alternating heights or in different planes so any two portions of the bottom surfaces may be considered first and second portions.

The height of the rib and thus the separation of the surfaces 56 and 32 is viewed as a choice of design which would have been obvious at the time of the invention

Page 3

to one having ordinary skill in the art.

It further would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one having ordinary skill in the art to cut the member to a required length before installing between a pair of adjacent roof rafters. [see background of the invention for intended use environment]

Hess further provides separation means 21.

- 4. Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 8, and 10-11 are allowed.
- 6. Applicant's arguments with respect to Quinnell GB 2145756 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's attention is drawn to MPEP 724 for direction on procedure and petitions to expunge proprietary information from the record.

7. The supplemental IDS filed 11/10/06 has been considered. An initialed copy of the 1449 form is attached.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,657 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. Canfield whose telephone number is 571-272-6840. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on 571-272-6842. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert J Canfield Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3635

01/20/06